	Case 2:23-cv-01033-KJM-SCR Docume	ent 15	Filed 09/10/24	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	EARLE S. HOWARD,	N	o. 2:23-ev-01033	KJM SCR P
13	Plaintiff,			
14	v.	<u>O</u>	<u>RDER</u>	
15	UNKNOWN,			
16	Defendant.			
17				
18				
19	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief			
20	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided			
21	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.			
22	On July 15, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were			
23	served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and			
24	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the			
25	findings and recommendations.			
26	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,			
27	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed			
28	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law			
		1		

Case 2:23-cv-01033-KJM-SCR Document 15 Filed 09/10/24 Page 2 of 2 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows: 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 13) are adopted in full. 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case. DATED: September 9, 2024.